

## **Recommended Reading**

1.	RAND. 2013. Measuring Research: A Guide to Research Evaluation Frameworks and Tools <a href="http://www.rand.org/pubs/monographs/MG1217.html">http://www.rand.org/pubs/monographs/MG1217.html</a>
2.	RAND. 2013. Assessing Research Impact: An international review of the Excellence in Innovation for Australia Trial <a href="http://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR278.html">http://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR278.html</a>
3.	EIPA. 2013. The Common Assessment Framework (CAF). Improving Public Organisations through Self-Assessment <a href="http://www.eipa.eu/files/File/CAF/CAF">http://www.eipa.eu/files/File/CAF/CAF</a> 2013.pdf
4.	Council of Canadian Academies. 2013. <i>Innovation Impacts: Measurement and Assessment</i> . The Expert Panel on the Socioeconomic Impacts of Innovation Investments, Council of Canadian Academies. <a href="http://www.scienceadvice.ca/en/assessments/completed/inno-investments.aspx">http://www.scienceadvice.ca/en/assessments/completed/inno-investments.aspx</a>
5.	US Government Accountability Office
6.	RAND Europe. 2011. <u>Project Retrosight: Understanding the returns from cardiovascular and stroke research: The Policy Report</u>
7.	RAND Europe. 2010. Capturing Research Impacts: A review of international practice
8.	Systematic review of methods for evaluating healthcare research economic impact. Yazdizadeh B, Majdzadeh R, Salmasian H. Health Research Policy Systems. 2010 Mar 2;8:6.
9.	CAHS, Canadian Academy of Health Sciences. 2009. Making an Impact: A Preferred Framework and Indicators to Measure Returns on Investment in Health Research <a href="http://www.cahs-acss.ca/making-an-impact-a-preferred-framework-and-indicators-to-measure-returns-on-investment-in-health-research-8/">http://www.cahs-acss.ca/making-an-impact-a-preferred-framework-and-indicators-to-measure-returns-on-investment-in-health-research-8/</a>
10.	RAND Europe. 2009. Mapping the impact: Exploring the payback of arthritis research
11.	Health Economics Research Group, Office of Health Economics, RAND Europe. Medical Research: What's it worth? Estimating the economic benefits from medical research in the UK. London: UK Evaluation Forum; 2008.  http://www.brunel.ac.uk/385/other/TAP825EconomicBenefitsReportFULLWeb.pdf
12.	RAND Europe. 2008. <u>Health Research Evaluation Frameworks: An International Comparison</u>
13.	Ruegg, R. & Jordan, G. US Dept. of Energy. 2007. Overview of Evaluation Methods for R&D Programs <a href="http://www1.eere.energy.gov/analysis/pdfs/evaluation_methods_r_and_d.pdf">http://www1.eere.energy.gov/analysis/pdfs/evaluation_methods_r_and_d.pdf</a>
14.	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). 2007. Science, Technology and Innovation Indicators in a Changing World: Responding to Policy Needs is a selection of the papers discussed at the OECD Blue Sky II Forum (Ottawa, Canada, 25-27 September 2006).



15.	Canadian Institutes of Health Research. 2005. "Developing a CIHR Framework to Measure the Impact of
	Health Research - Synthesis Report of Meetings."
16.	STARmetrics <a href="https://www.starmetrics.nih.gov/">https://www.starmetrics.nih.gov/</a>
17.	Excellence in Research for Australia (ERA) Initiative <a href="http://www.arc.gov.au/era">http://www.arc.gov.au/era</a>
18.	Research Excellence Framework (UK) http://www.ref.ac.uk/

## **Recommended Website Resources and Tools**

	Associated Fund attack Association The Post of State of S
1.	American Evaluation Association. The Program Evaluation Standards.
	http://www.eval.org/p/cm/ld/fid=103
2.	Canadian Evaluation Society. Grey literature database
	http://www.evaluationcanada.ca/site.cgi?s=6&ss=8
	The part of the control of the contr
3.	CDC. Impact and Value: Telling Your Program's Story
J.	http://www.cdc.gov/OralHealth/publications/library/pdf/success_story_workbook.pdf
	Intep.//www.cac.gov/Oranieatti/publications/iibrary/pui/success_story_workbook.pui
4.	Claremont Evaluation Center. http://www.claremontevaluationcenter.com/
4.	Claremont Evaluation Center. http://www.claremontevaluationcenter.com/
5.	Cornell Office for Research on Evaluation. https://core.human.cornell.edu/
Э.	
	(note: System Evaluation Protocol)
_	
6.	International Society for Performance Improvement <a href="http://www.ispi.org/">http://www.ispi.org/</a>
7.	Office of Consultation and Research in Medical Education (OCRME).
	Educational Research and Evaluation Workbook
	http://www.healthcare.uiowa.edu/ocrme/teach_train_sup/OCRME_Research_and_Evaluation_Wor
	kbook mar4.pdf
8.	RAND. 2007. Getting to Outcomes. <a href="http://www.rand.org/pubs/technical_reports/TR101z2.html">http://www.rand.org/pubs/technical_reports/TR101z2.html</a>
0.	Thirty 2007 Getting to Guttomest interpretational formation of public feedback interpretation
9.	Ruegg, R. and I. Feller. (2003). A Toolkit for Evaluating Public R&D Investment: Models, Methods,
<i>J</i> .	and Findings from ATP's First Decade A report prepared for the National Institute of Standards and
	· · · · ·
	Technology's Advanced Technology Program.
	http://www.atp.nist.gov/eao/gcr03-857/contents.htm
10	
10.	Treasury Board of Canada. Centre of Excellence for Evaluation (CEE)
	http://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/cee/index-eng.asp
11.	University of Wisconsin-Extension Cooperative Extension and Program Development and Evaluation
	Unit (PDE). <a href="http://www.uwex.edu/ces/pdande/evaluation/">http://www.uwex.edu/ces/pdande/evaluation/</a>



12.	US HSS. The Program Manager's Guide to Evaluation (2nd Ed) <a href="http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/opre/program_managers_guide_to_eval2010.pdf">http://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/opre/program_managers_guide_to_eval2010.pdf</a>
13.	W. K. Kellogg Foundation. Evaluation Handbook <a href="http://www.wkkf.org/knowledge-center/resources/2010/w-k-kellogg-foundation-evaluation-handbook.aspx">http://www.wkkf.org/knowledge-center/resources/2010/w-k-kellogg-foundation-evaluation-handbook.aspx</a>
14.	Western Michigan University. Evaluation Center. Evaluation Checklists. <a href="http://www.wmich.edu/evalctr/checklists/">http://www.wmich.edu/evalctr/checklists/</a> Of particular note: <ul> <li>Key Evaluation Checklist by Michael Scriven</li> <li>Qualitative Evaluation by Michael Quinn Patton</li> <li>Utilization-Focused Evaluation by Michael Quinn Patton</li> </ul>